REFER TO DOS

DOS REVIEWED 01-Nov-2011: NO OBJECTION TO DECLASSIFICATION.

TROLLEGIFTED

Control: 952

Recd 201942Z

OO RUEHRAK DE RUEHEM #8591 6791858 ZNR UUUUU ZZH 0 261848Z MAR 75 FM AMCORSUL JERUSALEM TO RUEKC/SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6863 info ruehhax/usdel ashah blact irrzdiate 43 Rueham/arensassy ammaw sage Rughbe/akimbassy betrut 2793 RUEKEG/AMEMBASSY CAIRO 886 RUEHDRIAREMBASSY DAMASCUS 335 RUENADH/AMERBASSY RIYADH 14 RUQMAD/ANZEBASSY TEL AVIV 4001 BT

UNCLAS JERUSALEM 591

DEPT PASS USCE FOR GENERAL SCOVEROFT AND NESSEN

E-O- 11652: N/A TAGS: OVIP (KISSINGER, HENRY A) SUBJ: REMARKS OF SECRETARY KISSINGER AND DEFENSE MINISTER PERES FOLLOWING A MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING THAMS IN JERUSALEM DURING THE EVENING OF MARCH 29, 1975

1. FOLLOWING ARE THE REMARKS OF SECRETARY OF STATE HENRY A. KISSINGER AND ISRAELI DEFENSE MINISTER SHIMON PERES FOLLOWING A MEETING OF THE ISRAELI AND AMERICAN NEGOTIATES TEAMS IN JERUSALEM ON THE EVENING OF MARCH 20. 1975.

2. SECRETARY KISSINGER: BEGIN QUOTE: WE HAVE MAD ANOTHER MEETING WITH THE ISRAELI NEGOTIATING TEAM AND THEY PRESENTED TO US THE IDEAS AND PROPOSALS OF THE ISRAELI GOVERNMENT IN RESPONSE TO THE PROPOSALS AND IDEAS OF THE EGYPTIAN SIDE THAT I SROUGHT HERE. I AM NOW LEAVING IMMEDIATELY FOR THE AIRPORT AND WILL GO TO ASWAM AND WILL BE DISCUSSING THESE ISRAELI PROPOSALS WITH PRESIDENT SADAT AND HIS ADVISERS. THANK YOU.

3. Q: NEW PROPOSALS? ARE THEY NEW PROPOSALS? A: SECRETARY KISSINGER: I THINK THERE ARE SOME NEW IDEAS, YES.

4. Q: IS AN AGREEMENT CLOSE, SIR? WOULD YOU SAY THAT IT WAS CLOSER? A: SECRETARY KISSINGER: I DO NOT WANT TO SPECULATE. I WILL BE BACK, I HOPE. TOMORROW AND I WILL BE ABLE TO GIVE A BETTER AS-SESSMENT THEN. THANK YOU END QUOTE.

5. AT THIS POINT THE SECRETARY DEPARTED FOR THE AIRPORT.

6. DEFENSE MISISTER PERES: DEGIN QUOTE: TE GAVE TRE COMPLETE PROPOSAL OF THE ISRAELI CABINET TO DE KISSIDJER.

7. Q: WERE THERE AMENDMENTS TO THE ORIGINAL ISBAELI GUIDELINES FOR A SETTLEMENT? A: WE HAVE CONCLUDED OUR PROPOSALS THES AFTERSOOM.

8. Q: IS THE GAP CLCSING? IS THE GAP VERY WIDE? HAS IT MARROWED SOMEWHAT? A: CAN I SEE FROM HERE UP TO CAIRO HOW MANY BAPS ARE THERE ON THE WAY? I WOULDN'T GUESS.

9. At DID DR KISSINGER RAISE ANY OF MIS OWN IDEAS? 4: WELL, IT IS A DIALOGUE AND BOTH SIDES ARE SUGGESTING AND QUESTION-ING AND ANSWERING. AS THE WATURE OF THINGS ARE.

18. Q: HAS HE INTRODUCED AMERICAN IDEAS?
A: WELL, HOW CAN I SPEAK FOR THE UNITED STATES? BUT, USUALLY IT
IS A NEGOTIATION, A CLARIFICATION, AND IT WORKS IN A WAY OF
CONVERSATIONS, YOU KNOW.

II. Q: WHEN DO YOU EXPECT HIM BACK TOMORROW?
A: I HOPE AS EARLY AS POSSIBLE, BEFORE SMABBAT CORES IN. THANK
YOU VERY MUCH. END QUOTE.

BT #8591

KKKK

UNCLASSIFIED

NAME TO THE MEMORANDU... No Objection To Declassification in Full 2012/02/13 : LOC-HAK-202-1-8-7

#### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

SECRET/SENSITIVE/EYES ONLY

March 20, 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR:

FROM:

DENT
BRENT SCOWCROFT

Secretary Kissinger has asked that I provide you with the following report concerning the outcome of the Israeli Government's deliberations:

"The Israeli Government has just completed its deliberations, and we have been given the results by Rabin and his colleagues. There were some modest modifications from the position that was conveyed to us this morning, which essentially does not change the situation in any substantial way.

"I am now leaving for Aswan to meet later this evening with Sadat. The odds are very much against Sadat accepting what I am bringing with me, and since I feel it is inadequate, I will present the Israeli position without encouraging acceptance on the part of Sadat. I do not, of course, absolutely preclude that Sadat will decide that there is enough in it to continue the negotiations but I think this is unlikely. I will report to you later this evening after I complete my talks with Sadat."

SECKET/SENSITIVE/EYES ONLY

## SECRET/NODIS

**1** - (4) ---

### CHECK LIST

### ASWAN, MARCH 20

- 1. The main elements in the Israeli position to be presented to Sadat are:
  - a. Non-use of force. The new formulation at Tab A.
  - b. Israeli concept of the line has these elements:
    - -- Israeli line through the "middle" of the passes to the Gulf of Suez north of the Sudr Pass roa
    - -- Line swings west around Israeli intelligence site.
    - -- Civilian enclave for the oil fields. Use of the road not excluded.
    - -- Egyptian occupation of present UN zone.
    - -- No increase in force between the Canal and the Egyptian line, and in a comparable area behind the Israeli line.
    - -- An Egyptian observation post in the UN zone is negotiable.
  - 2. Other elements raised which you may prefer not to mention include:
    - -- Duration: agree to renew UNEF 3-4 times.
    - -- Syria: You told the Israelis you prefer not to mention this.
  - 3. If the negotiations were to continue, you would want to take back to Israel:
    - -- Sadat's ideas on the line.
    - -- Ideas on thinning out of forces.

# SECRET/NODIS

### SECRET/NODIS

- -- Any interest in early warning site?
- 4. If the negotiations are to break off, you will want to cover the following:
  - -- Break-up scenario. Draft announcement at Tab B.
  - -- How to handle Syria?
  - -- What to tell Faisal?
  - -- What to say to the Soviets?
  - -- Where do we go from here? Geneva?
- 5. In addition, you will want to raise with Sadat the missile.
  sites east of the Canal since the Israelis will now publicize
  them: This issue will be used to undermine the image
  Sadat has developed outside Egypt.

### Also attached:

- -- Tab C: The last Fahmy paper
- -- Tab D: The basic Israeli paper
- -- The map is in your folder

## SECRET/NODIS

### SECRET/NODIS

#### ANNOUNCEMENT

We have been seeking, in response to the desires of the parties, to help them achieve an interim agreement as a further step toward a peace settlement. We believe both sides have made a serious effort to reach a successful outcome. Unfortunately, the differences on a number of key issues have proven irreconcilable so far. We, therefore, believe a period of reassessment is needed so that all concerned can consider how best to proceed. Secretary Kissinger has accordingly informed the parties that he is returning to Washington to report to the President and the Congress on the present stage of the negotiations. He will remain in close touch with the parties and the Co-Chairman of the Geneva Conference during the period ahead.

## SECRET/NODIS

Original
Equit 3/16

Considering that the Middle East crisis will not ultimately be solved by military force but rather by peaceful means;

Desirous to reach ultimately the final and just peaceful settlement prescribed by Security Council Resolution 338 and as a significant stop towards that end, Egypt and Israel agree to conclude the following disongagement agreement:

I- The agreement should include total withdrawal of Israeli forces to the east of the passes and from the oilfields including the town of El Tor.

2- The new lines will be drawn on the following basis:

- present line to a new line east of the passes;
  - b) the Egyptian forces will move up to the

western entrance of the passes;

- c) the area between the Egyptian line and the Israeli line will be a zone of disongagement in which the United Nations Emergency Force (UNEF) will be stationed;
- d) the area between the new Israeli line and a line ten kilometers to the east of it will be limited in armamerit and forces;
- e) the area between the new Egyptian line and a line ten kilometers to the west of it will be limited in armament and forces.
- Formal assurance in writing to the U.S. Government of their intention not to resort to the use of force for the duration of this agreement and as long as the process for reaching a just and durable peace is continuing in accordance with the letter and the spirit of Security Council Resolution 338 and other relevant U N resolutions.

- of force against Syria; in the event that this undertaking is violated by Israel, Egypt will fulfill its obligations towards Syria.
- 9- Nothing in this agreement would prevent Egypt from exercising its right to self-defence under article 51 of the UN Charter.
- 6- The President of the U.S. is expected to give his assurances to President Sadet that the U.S. will actively exert their efforts in order that a further disengagement between Syria and Israel takes place before the middle of 1975.
- its function and its mondate will be extended annually.
  - or the chairmanchip of the United Staff will be established in order that military representatives of

the parties discuss, in the zone of disengagement, problems crising from the implementation of this agreement.

a manner as to indicate the willingness of the Israelis to demonstrate their desire for peace, namely that no roads or installations or equipment of the oilfields be destroyed or transferred from their present location either to Israel or the remaining occupied territories.

table indicating the various phases of the implementation of the agreement bearing in mind that the full implementation mentation should be terminated within three mentae of the eigensture of the agreement and that Egypt receives all collided within two weeks of the signing of the present agreement.

11- Nothing in this agreement procludes the reconvening of the Geneva Conference which is to take place at the

carlicat possible date with the participation of all the parties concerned for the purpose of reaching a peaceful settlement of the Middle East problem in accordance with Security Council Resolution 333.

/12- The Anties agree that no Just and durable peace in the Middle Nest could be achieved without the participation of the Palestinians.

and Igrael as a final peace agreement.

| 14- This disensessment agreement remains valid until superseded by a new agreement.

Survey at

# New article 3

3 Israel and Egypt would be prepared to give a formal assurance in writing to the U.S. Government of their intention not to resort to the use of force for the duration of this agreement.

# NON-USE OF FORCE

Egypt and Israel hereby undertake in the relations between themselves not to resort to the use of force and to resolve all disputes between them by negotiations and other peaceful means.

They will refrain from permitting, encouraging assisting or participating in any military, paramilitary or hostile actions, from any warlike or hostile acts and any other form of warfare or hostile activity against the other Party anywhere.

Note: This undertaking will not be linked to anything (duration, peace process etc).

March 17, 1975

NON-USE OF FORCE

Egypt and Israel hereby undertake in the relations between themselves, not to resort to the use of force and to resolve all disputes between them by negotiations and other peaceful means. They will refrain from all military or paramilitary actions, from any warlike and hostile acts and any other forms of warfare.

NOTE: This undertaking will not be linked to anything (duration, peace process etc).

March 17, 1975

- (1) This agreement will remain in force until superseded by a peace agreement.
- (2) Undertakings regarding the duration of the agreement.
- (3) The parties recognize that the conflict between them cannot be solved by force.

# Other Formulations to be included:

- (a) This is not a peace agreement it is a <u>significant</u> step towards just and lasting peace between them (in accordance ...etc.)
- (b) References in the agreement to some of the practical steps agreed.

March 17, 1975

# PROPOSED MAIN ELEMENTS OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN EGYPT AND ISRAEL

# (1) Agreement to stand on its own two feet

(1) The agreement will be bi-lateral between Egypt and Israel.

It is not linked to an agreement with other Arab countries and does not create a pattern for other discussions or settlements. Neither are there any prior conditions attached to its acceptance.

The proposed agreement is not the final settlement between Egypt and Israel, but further settlements are not an element or an implied condition to the execution and continued validity of this agreement until superseded by a new agreement.

# (2) Progress towards peace

The approach to peace and the further development of elements of peaceful relations, will find its expression in suitable formulation and in concrete and practical arrangements.

It is proposed that the agreement refer to its declared aim of the furtherance of peace between the parties and to its being a significant step towards the establishment of a just and lasting peace between Egypt and Israel.

Furthermore, the concrete arrangements based on the agreement which will express and reflect this progress towards peace will, inter alia, be as follows:

- (a) Open bridges for tourists, family visits, goods etc.
- (b) Non-restriction on ships, planes or travellers because of call or visit to the territory of the other party.
- (c) Abstention from hostile propaganda.
- (d) Suspension of economic warfare and boycott practices.
- (e) Cessation of anti-Israel diplomatic pressures in third countries and international bodies.
- (f) Freedom of navigation on high seas, straits and waterways and freedom of flight over them.
- (g) Right of passage through the Canal.
- (h) Establishment of Joint Committees with supervision teams to oversee execution of agreement.

### (3) Non-use of force

The agreement will express the resolve of the parties to refrain from any further threat or use of force against each other and the decision to settle all disputes between themselves by negotiations and other peaceful means. The document will contain the undertaking of the renunciation of belligerency, clearly and in its appropriate legal wording. The agreement will be made public.

Both parties will add a formal guarantee that they will not participate, directly or indirectly, in any hostilities between the other party and any other state or forces or provide assistance of any kind to states or forces involved in such hostilities.

### (4) Arrangements on the ground

- (a) The area evacuated by Israel will be established as a buffer zone between the forces.
- (b) A defined area of limited armaments and forces east of the new line will be established.
- (c) The present area of limited armaments and forces west of Line A, established by the agreement of January 1974, remains unchanged.

- (d) Supervision will be carried out by the UN and by the
   Joint Committees and Supervision teams established (see 2(h)).
- (e) Alert systems of each party in the vacated zone to prevent surprise attack is proposed.
- (f) There will be aerial reconnaissance missions by aircraft of both sides.
- (g) The arrangements will be contained in a Protocol attached to the agreement.

#### (5) Duration

- (a) The agreement will be in force until superseded by a new agreement; no time-limit to the present agreement will be set.
- (b) Egypt will give an undertaking via the USG that it will not demand a new agreement or a further withdrawal for an agreed period.
- (c) The mandate of the supervisory organ will be for an indefinite period.
- (d) There will be an agreed timetable for the implementation of the agreement. Stage one will be a preparatory one.

## (6) Relation to Geneva

The agreement should find its expression in the position of the parties, if and when the Geneva Conference is renewed. The intention of the parties expressed in the agreement, generally and in its detailed provisions, has to find its continued effect in the approaches and attitudes taken in Geneva, in a way to be agreed upon.

(7) Lines

The question of the lines will be developed subsequently, after the consideration and discussion of the six points mentioned above and on their basis.

11.3.75

#### SECRET NODIS CHEROKEE

#### MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION

PARTICIPANTS:

GOE SIDE:

PRESIDENT SADAT

FOREIGN MINISTER FAHMY

GENERAL GAMASY

US SIDE:

SECRETARY KISSINGER UNDER SECRETARY SISCO

AMBASSADOR EILTS

PLACE:

ASWAN, EGYPT

DATE:

MARCH 20, 1975

#### TALK WITH KING FAISAL

The Secretary said he and his associates had a hectic time on this round. First, however, he would recount briefly his talk with King Faisal. He had given the King both possibilities: success or failure. If the current effort fails, he had told him we will continue a major effort to move toward a settlement. He would go back to the US to reassess our policy on the assumption that we want ultimate success. He had also told Faisal about President Sadat's insistence on no-non-belligerency pledge and moving into the passes. On Syria, he had told the King of his talk with Asad. Faisal responded that he preferred simultaneity, but whatever the President and the Secretary judge best is agreeable to him.

The President expressed appreciation.

## TALKS IN ISRAEL

The Secretary related that he had met with the Israeli negotiating team on Tuesday evening to inform them of the President's views. The Israeli Cabinet had then met all day Wednesday, March 19, and again this morning. This morning the Israelis had again insisted on the Rosenmedrafted statement

in lieu of non-belligerency and on other political demands. The Secretary had refused to accept them. He had cautioned that, in the event of breakdown, there willhave to be a reassessment of our policy vis-a-vis Israel.

On the political side, the Secretary continued, the positions of the two Parties are very close. There are minor differences. Thus, for example, the Israelis wish to reaffirm Article I of the initial Disengagement Agreement, having to do with the cease-fire. They would like something along those lines. Fahmy recalled that there had been something like this in para 3 of the Egyptian draft.

The Secretary then gave the President a paper containing three Israeli-drafted formulations, indicating the Israelis would like all three. While noting that most of the formulations are based on previous Egyptian drafts, he pointed out that the final sentence is additional. President Sadat, after looking at the document, indicated that the additional formulation poses a problem. There is no objection to the reaffirmation of the cease-fire. The President continued that he agrees in principle, but it will be a matter of phrasing. The Secretary observed that the Israelis had in effect dropped the paragraph he had brought back the last time (Rosenne-drafted paragraph) and substituted for it new language. The first two paragraphs of the new language are those of the Egyptians, along with the reaffirmation of Article I of the Disengagement Agreement. The other main elements, the Secretary continued, we can work out.

The Secretary then turned to the military concept, noting that this may be difficult. The Israelis had not yet given him a firm military line. As he understood the Israeli position, the Egyptians would move forward to the UN line. The Israelis, on their part, would withdraw their forward line to a position roughly in the middle of the passes. In the Abu Rodais area, there would be an Egyptian enclave. In the buffer zone between the two forward lines, Egypt might place a radar station on some high mountain to monitor against surprise attack. Behind the Israeli forward line there would be a zone of limited armaments equivalent to the distance between the Suez Canal and the Egyptian forward line. This zone of limited armaments would be the same for both sides. The Israelis would also be prepared to work out any assurance against surprise

SECRET NODIS CHEROKEE

So far as the Lance missiles are concerned, the would not deploy them if Egypt does not position its Scuds so that they are in range of the cities.

President Sadat asked about the eastern entrance of the passes? The Secretary said the Israelis will be in 1 eastern entrance of the passes, which would be in the limited armaments zone. The President asked if Egypt would then move to the western entrance?

The Secretary said the matter had been discussed for the first time that morning. He had believed that Rabin had the same authority as Mrs. Meir. Rabin wants to move toward peace. If there were an agreement, the Secretary expressed his belief that Rabin would use it as a platform toward peace. Rabin knows that the next two years must be used to move toward peace. Even Peres had stated that Israel cannot always live with a moving frontier. The Cabinet, however, is "demented." It had reased three times in a row various American suggestions. The Secretary thought we could move their line back a bit, but also cpined that a point will be reached where they cannot put it to their Cabinet. Enormous efforts had been expended to persuade the israelis to drop non-belligerency. He noted the Israeli position in the passes will be part of the thinned-out They say they cannot attack out of the passes.

Alluding to the Abu Rodais enclave, Gamasy asked how Egypt could move people into the enclave? They will have to go through Israeli lines and be under Israeli guns. The Secretary indicated that he discussed with them that the Egyptians move to Abu Rodais only through the UN. Gamasy said there must be a UN zone around the oilfield. The President said he could not send his people

The Secretary said he wanted the Egyptian reaction to the above concept. Gamasy responded that the Israeli forward line should be east of the passes. The Secretary reiterated that the Israeli forward line, as they conceive it, will be in the passes. Their main line will be east of the passes. The President observed that this means we have not agreed that the passes will be free of all troops. Gamasy added that if the Israelis give up part of Mitla

#### SECRET NODIS CHEROKEE

pass, they will never do so in the case of Giddi or Sidr passes. The Secretary said that the Israelis claim they are giving up hundreds of billions of dollars of defense positions by going back. President Sadat countered that the Israelis will still be holding the passes. The Secretary also noted the Israelis say Egypt has an assurance against an Israeli surprise attack because of the UN forces positioned in front of the forward lines. Gamasy responded that the same is true for the Israelis. If the Israelis hold the eastern end of the passes, how can Egypt conduct reconnaissance operations in the mountains?

Fahmy asked why the line (between the enclave and the northern positions) is cut? The Secretary responded that the Israelis do not want to man a very long line. Fahmy and Gamasy argued that the line will be just as long in either event.

Sadat pointed out that the enclave will be isolated. The only road to it is along the shore, which the Israelis would still hold. Egyptians cannot ask the Israelis for permission to go to the oilfields. This is simply not a practical matter for the Egyptian side. Let us agree, the President continued, that there be no forces from either side in the passes. Only the UN and civilians will be in the oilfield. If we agree in principle, it should facilitate things. Gamasy demurred, but the President overruled him.

The Secretary asked whether, if the President has the road (leading to the enclave), he would then agree to demilitarization. Sadat said no demilitarization. He said there should be no military forces, only UN forces, i.e. just observers. The Israelis would be south of Abu Rodais. Gamasy added it should be south of el-Tur.

President Sadat added that we should be frank. The Israelis hold the Agaba Gulf. Egypt should have the oilfield areas, taking into account that Egypt will be opening the Suez Canal.

On the matter of el-Tur, the Secretary noted that the Israelis say this is the last strong point between the Egyptian positions and Sharm el-Shaikh. If there were no forces there, it would be a difficult situation. Gamasy asked if there might be a limited armaments zone between Abu Rodais and el-Tur. The President said he would agree to civilian administration in that area. The Secretary

said he did not know what the Israeli response might be. The President said he is trying to make it easier for the Secretary.

The Secretary observed that the Israelis are "unbelievable.'

It was a monumental task getting them to agree to anything.

The President again said police forces and UN forces in the enclave. He could not send his people (to Belaiyim) under Israeli eyes. He needed the road. The President agreed that the approach between el-Tur and Sharm al-Shaikh is easy and, much to Gamasy's distress, accepted the fact that al-Tur need not be held.

The President said that he cannot agree to the Israelis being in the middle of the passes. The passes should be free. Both eastern and western entrances should be left to the UN.

Gamasy noted that, given the different lengths of the passes, the buffer zone at Giddi would be about 6 kilometers, where the other two would be about 20. In the north, the buffer area would have to be discussed.

The Secretary noted that the Israelis do not want to give up Bir Jifjafah.

The President observed that he had agreed on some political terms and now the Israelis are being difficult on the military side. Fahmy thought the problem was difficult, but not insoluble. The Secretary said the Israelis argue that they are withdrawing large distances. Their main line is going back to the other side of the passes. Sadat responded that the Israelis will still be holding the middle of the passes, hence their withdrawal is not substantial.

Fahmy recalled his impression that the original Israeli proposal had been to take eastern end of the passes if Egypt holds the western end of the passes. The Secretary responded in the negative: If Egypt agrees to non-belligerency, the Israelis will leave the passes. Now the Israelis say there is no adequate political content (to the agreement), hence it is difficult on the military side.

Fahmy observed that the linkage between the political and military aspects constitutes the problem. The President

again asked what could he say to his people? The Israelis would still be holding half the passes. The Secretary pointed out that the President could show a considerable withdrawal of Israeli forces. Sadat responded that withdrawal will be for no more than 20-25 kilometers.

Gamasy observed that the Israelis could, from their main line, attack the Suez Canal area with 175 mm guns. The President commented that he could not guarantee the safety of navigation in the Suez Canal if the Israelis are in their proposed positions. The Secretary said something can be worked out whereby artillery is positioned out of range (of the cities) in peacetime. Gamasy countered that whenever there is a period of tension, the Israelis will move artillery forward. The Secretary noted Israel (artillery) should not even be in the eastern end of the passes under such an agreement.

The President reiterated that the eastern and western entrances of the passes should be under the UNEF as a buffer zone. Egypt will move to the UN line. In the north, the Egyptian zone might be wider. The Secretary said he had not discussed this.

Fahmy observed that the Israelis should not expect to discuss military apart from political considerations. The Secretary said the Israelis will respond that from a military viewpoint, the Egyptians are gaining; therefore they want something political. For non-belligerency they would do much. The Israelis must also show their people something. The President responded, "We have given much."

The Secretary said he is trying to find something to bring them from here. On Syria, we could give them an assurance against any preemptive attack on Syria. In turn, the Israelis want a commitment that if Syria attacks them, Egypt will not support Syria. The President agreed. He recalled that after the first Disengagement Agreement, the Syrians had begun a war of attrition, but Egypt had not moved. He authorized the Secretary can say this to the Israelis. The Secretary said he assumed this is so if UNEF finds the Syrians initiated the action. The President agreed.

In another area, the Secretary said that on the eight points (concession areas), it might help if he could have something concrete on the boycott to tell to the Israelis. Fahmy responded that Egypt will begin with Ford. Egypt

### SECRET NODIS CHEROKEE

will be condemned by the Arabs for this, but Egypt will do so. Moreover, when the Suez Canal is reopened, Israeli cargoes will go through.

The Secretary asked if vessels that deliver cargo to Egyptian ports could go on to Israeli ports (without danger of being boycotted). The President said no. Israeli cargoes could go only through the Suez Canal. For 20-25 kilometers, he noted, the Israelis want everything. What about the remaining 185 kilometers that they will continue to occupy? The President asked if the Secretary had seen the Soviet statement of the previous day expressing readiness to assist in the peacemaking process? The Secretary said he had.

The President continued -- what will be left for a later stage if all that the Israelis are demanding comes now? The Israelis will still hold 185 kilometers and still say they will not go back to the '67 borders. He described them as "spoiled children."

The Secretary asked where if the Israelis should give up the eastern entrance to the passes, they might go. Gamasy noted they could go to a mountain line behind the passes, with their main line behind this. Such positions would offer them both mobile and static defense. Sadat again said he cannot accept the middle of the passes. The Secretary asked if the President could accept an Israeli monitoring installation, provided Egypt also has one in the buffer zone? (Gemasy here pointed out on the map the Israeli monitoring station on a mountain just north of the Giddi pass.) The President responded in the negative. He reiterated that if both sides give up the passes to the UN, this will/be agreeable to him. The Secretary said the Israelis would agree to Egypt also building a monitoring station. The President replied in the negative, saying this is not practical. Gamesy pointed out that the Israelis could, if they wish, build another monitoring station on a mountain behind the passes. Apart from this, he noted that the Egyptian forward line should not simply be the UN line, but further to the east.

The Secretary said he will have to talk further with the Israelis. He could not think of anything more to ask the Egyptians. He asked if there is anything more possible on the matter of duration?

Fahmy commented that Egypt wants to facilitate the Secretary's job, but does not see the logic of linkage. The logic of linkage, the Secretary pointed out, is that the Israeli Cabinet is out of control and the Knesset must also be satisfied. Sadat opined that the Israelis are deliberately prolonging the talks without making decisions.

The Secretary said he now has the bare outline of an agreement. The only decision now is the military line. After that, an agreement could be written quickly.

The President said he would give the Secretary a map as Egypt believes the line has to be. We must make it a tight agreement, he indicated, or people will "mock at us."

(Two maps were provided by Gamasy the following morning: one shows the proposed Egyptian line; the other that same line along with what the Egyptians believe would be a proper Israeli line.

AMB:HFrEilts:ajc - 3/23/75